A Method for Detecting Selenium Speciation in Groundwater

Katta J. Reddy, Zhonghua Zhang Michael J. Blaylock and George F. Vance

Journal Article

1995 WWRC-95-06

In Environmental Science and Technology Volume 29, No. 7

Katta J. Reddy and Zhonghua Zhang Wyoming Water Resources Center University of Wyoming Laramie, Wyoming

Michael J. Blaylock Center for Agricultural Molecular Biology Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey

> George F. Vance Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences University of Wyoming Laramie, Wyoming

ES & T

Method for Detecting Selenium Speciation in Groundwater

Katta J. Reddy, Zhonghua Zhang, Michael J. Blaylock, and George F. Vance

Water Resources Center, P.O. Box 3067, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071, Center for Agricultural Molecular Biology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, and Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071

Reprinted from ENVIRON. SCI. TECHNOL., Volume 29, Number 7, Pages 1754–1759

> Copyright © 1995 by the American Chemical Society and reprinted by permission of the copyright owner

Method for Detecting Selenium Speciation in Groundwater

KATTA J. REDDY,*.† ZHONGHUA ZHANG,† MICHAEL J. BLAYLOCK,‡ AND GEORGE F. VANCE[§]

Water Resources Center, P.O. Box 3067, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071, Center for Agricultural Molecular Biology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, and Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071

To better understand the potential toxicity of Se, it is necessary to know the concentration of different Se ionic species (e.g., SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-}). The hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometry (HGAAS) method of Se analysis cannot separate Se into individual ionic species. Ion chromatography (IC) can determine SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} concentrations simultaneously; however, common anions, such as sulfate (SO₄²⁻), in groundwater interferes with SeO₃²⁻ and SeO_4^{2-} speciation. The purpose of this study was to measure the concentration of ionic SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} species in groundwater, thereby determining the chemical speciation of dissolved Se. Three groundwater samples with high concentrations of Mg^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} were used in this study. The ionic SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} species in groundwater samples were selectively adsorbed onto copper oxide (CuO) particles by lowering the pH to 5.5. These ionic species were desorbed from the surface of CuO particles by increasing the pH to 12.5. Subsequently, the concentrations of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species in solutions were determined with HGAAS and IC. The effect of divalent cations (e.g., Mg²⁺) on the concentration of SeO₄²⁻ in aqueous solutions was also evaluated. The dissolved Se concentration in three groundwater samples ranged from 22 to 151 μ g/ L. The CuO particles extracted 97% of SeO_3^{2-} from groundwater samples, suggesting that Se(IV) concentrations were dominated by the SeO_3^{2-} ion. However, CuO particles extracted 80% of SeO_4^{2-} from groundwater samples. These results suggest that Se(VI) concentrations consisted of SeO₄²⁻ and metal SeO_4^{2-} solution species. The dissolved Mg²⁺ in groundwater samples formed a strong neutral ion pair with SeO_4^{2-} (MgSeO₄⁰), which was not adsorbed by the CuO particles. Overall chemical speciation of dissolved Se, extracted with CuO particles, suggests that groundwater samples consisted of SeO_3^{2-} (6–36%), SeO_4^{2-} (32-65%), organic Se species (14-23%), and neutral ion pairs (9-16%). An important aspect of

the proposed method is that CuO can be used in the field to extract both SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species from groundwater samples, and these species could be desorbed from CuO and measured using HGAAS or IC methods, depending upon the concentrations of these species.

Introduction

Groundwater is a major natural resource in the United States. It is estimated that 50% of the population uses groundwater as its primary source of drinking water (1). Therefore, any contamination of groundwater could lead to severe consequences. It has been estimated that 70% of Wyoming's population use groundwater as their source of drinking water. Recently, selenium (Se) concentrations ranging from 3 to 330 μ g/L have been detected in shallow post-mining groundwater from coal mines in seleniferous areas of the Powder River Basin, Wyoming (2, 3). Selenium is an essential element; however, excessive Se can be toxic to animals and humans. Therefore, Se concentration in drinking water is federally regulated not to exceed 0.01 mg/L (4).

Selenium exists in different oxidation states in natural environments. These include the following: for Se(IV): SeO_3^{2-} , $HSeO_3^{-}$, $H_2SeO_3^{0}$, $CaSeO_3^{0}$, and $MgSeO_3^{0}$; for Se(VI): SeO₄²⁻, HSeO₄⁻, H₂SeO₄⁰, CaSeO₄⁰, and MgSeO₄⁰; and for Se(-II): Se^{2-} , $HSe^{-}H_2Se^{0}$, CaSe⁰, and MgSe⁰. Additionally, dissolved organic Se compounds are known to exist in natural environments (5). Thermodynamic calculations show that Se(-II) species should be found in reducing environments, Se(IV) species in moderately oxidizing environments, and Se(VI) species in oxidizing environments (6). The determination of ionic SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} species in groundwater is important because Se species vary in their toxicity potential to aquatic species, animals, and humans. Moreover, such information is also required for any calculations involving adsorption, solid phase control, or indeed mobility of dissolved Se in groundwater.

Hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometry (HGAAS) is the most commonly used method for the speciation of Se in aqueous solutions, primarily because HGAAS can detect as low as $1 \mu g/L$ Se. The HGAAS method will measure Se as total Se and Se(IV), from which Se(VI) and organic Se can be determined by difference or by altering the pretreatment steps (7). However, the concentration of Se(IV) and Se(VI) may be different from that of individual SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ ionic species because Se-(IV) and Se(VI) also include solution complexes and ion pairs. The HGAAS procedure, however, cannot separate Se into individual ionic species. Ion chromatography (IC) can measure the concentration of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} simultaneously in aqueous solutions. The IC limit of quantification for Se analysis has been reported to be 181 μ g/L (8). However, common anions (e.g., SO₄²⁻) in aqueous solutions will interfere with SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} analysis with IC (9).

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail address: Katta@uwyo.edu; Fax: (307)766-3785.

[†] Water Resources Center.

[‡] Center for Agricultural Molecular Biology.

[§] Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences.

Roden and Tallman (10) found that passing groundwater samples through a column of XAD-8 resin at pH 1.6–1.8 can remove organic compounds without altering Se(IV) and Se(VI) chemistry. Fio and Fujii (11) extended this technique and separated soil solution Se into Se(IV), Se(VI), and organic Se. Wang and others (12) developed fluorometry methods to determine nanograms of Se per liter in natural waters. Although these methods are useful for laboratory characterization of aquatic and soil solutions, they require extensive sample pretreatment and are not practical for field applications. Additionally, these methods cannot extract dissolved SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ ionic species directly from aqueous solutions.

Recent work in our laboratory has led to the observation that certain oxides, e.g., CuO, selectively adsorb ionic SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} species in the presence of other anions at pH 5.5 and desorb them 100% at pH 12.5. In this paper, we tested and used this hypothesis to measure the concentration of ionic SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} species in groundwater samples containing SO_4^{2-} concentration as high as 11 000 mg/L. From the measurement of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species and total dissolved Se as well as organic Se measurements, we calculated the chemical speciation of dissolved Se in groundwater samples.

Materials and Methods

Collection and Chemical Analyses of Groundwater Samples. Three groundwater samples were collected from reclaimed coal mine sites in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming. Groundwater samples were filtered through 0.45 μ M fiber glass filters and were analyzed for cations and anions. More details regarding the collection and analyses of groundwater samples are reported elsewhere (13). A Perkin-Elmer Model 5000 atomic absorption spectrometer equipped with a VGA-76 hydride generation system was used to determined Se species by the selective digestion procedure.

The concentration of Se(IV) in samples was determined by generating H_2Se with a NaBH₄ solution [0.6% (w/v) NaBH₄; 0.5% (w/v) NaOH] and 7 M HCl (undigested). Another aliquot of the sample was heated for 20 min at 85 °C with 7 M HCl to reduce Se(VI) to Se(IV). The concentration of Se in this solution is considered as the sum of Se(VI) and Se(IV) (digested). The difference between the concentration of Se in digested and undigested samples is the concentration of Se(VI). The total Se concentration in samples was determined by oxidizing organic matter with H₂O₂ for 20 min at 85 °C and was then digested with 7 M HCl for another 20 min at 85 °C. The difference between total Se and digested Se concentrations was assumed as the concentration of organic Se. Details regarding detection limits and the limit of quatification for the HGAAS method for Se analysis are reported in Cutter (7).

The IC used in this study was a Dionex 2000i with Al-450 software (Version 2.12); $50-\mu$ L sample loop, AG-5 guard column, and AS4A separator column were used to determine SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ with a 1.8 mM Na₂CO₃/1.7 mM NaHCO₃ eluent mixture at a flow rate of 2 mL/min and 0.013 M H₂SO₄ regenerant solution. Electrical conductivity was used as the detection method. Before Se analysis, SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ standard solutions were prepared and analyzed with IC to determine the retention time, peak height, and peak area. More details regarding detection limits and the limit of quatification for the IC method for Se analysis are reported in Blaylock and James (8).

Selection of CuO. Initially, BaCl₂ and Ba(OH)₂ solutions were used to precipitate SO₄²⁻ from alkaline aqueous solutions; however, along with SO₄²⁻, SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ also coprecipitated. Later, Cu²⁺ (cupric) was selected to precipitate SO₄²⁻ from alkaline aqueous solutions because Cu^{2+} -SeO₃²⁻ and $-SeO_4^{2-}$ solution species are not significant in aqueous solutions and because Cu^{2+} -SeO₃²⁻ and $-SeO_4^{2-}$ solid phases are highly soluble. The CuCl₂ solution was added to aqueous solutions containing SO₄²⁻, SeO₃²⁻, and SeO₄²⁻. Then, SO₄²⁻ was precipitated as hydroxysulfate by adding NaOH to the solutions. This procedure appeared to work for some samples; on further investigation, the addition of CuCl₂ and NaOH to solutions was not completely effective in removing SO₄²⁻.

In some CuCl₂ solutions, increasing the pH by adding NaOH precipitated CuO (tenorite). Excessive Cu²⁺ in solutions slowly lowered the pH to around 6.0 through hydrolysis reactions. At this point, we could not detect SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ in solutions; when solution pH was increased to 12, SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ were detected. For further studies, CuO was prepared by adding concentrated NaOH solution to CuCl₂·2H₂O solutions. The precipitate was washed with distilled deionized H₂O several times, heated at 250 °C for several hours, and identified as tenorite by X-ray diffraction analysis (XAD 2000, Scintag Inc.). We estimate that the surface area of this material will be greater than 40 000 m²/kg.

pH Effect on Adsorption and Desorption of SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ by CuO. A total of 2 mg/L SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ each was added to solutions containing 7.5 g of CuO particles. The pH of the solutions was adjusted to 13.5 with NaOH solution. The pH of the solution was reduced gradually by adding HCl. After reacting for 4 h at a given pH, 1 mL of the solution was removed with a syringe containing a 0.45 μ M millipore filter and measured for SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ using IC. The effect of CuO particle concentration on the adsorption of SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ was examined using the above procedure, except the concentration of CuO particles was varied.

The SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ desorption procedure was similar to that of the adsorption experiments, except that the initial pH of the solution was adjusted to less than 5.5. The pH of the solution was gradually increased with NaOH. After reacting for 24 h at a given pH level, 1 mL of solution was removed with a syringe containing a 0.45 μ M millipore filter and measured for SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ with IC.

Extraction of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} from Groundwater Samples with CuO. The groundwater samples were spiked with 2 mg/L standard SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} and were acidified to pH 5.5 with concentrated HCl. The concentrations of Se(IV), Se(VI), and organic Se in spiked and unspiked samples were determined with HGAAS following the selective digestion procedure. Twenty milliliters of the spiked and unspiked groundwater samples was transferred into 50-mL centrifuge tubes containing 0.6 g of CuO particles.

After reacting for 4 h, solutions were centrifuged, and the supernatant was separated from the CuO particles by decantation. A 20-mL sample of NaOH solution (pH > 12.5) was added to each centrifuge tube to desorb the Se species from the CuO particles, and solutions were reacted overnight and then centrifuged. The concentration of SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ in the supernatant solutions was determined by HGAAS and IC. All analyses were performed in triplicate,



FIGURE 1. Adsorption and desorption of SeO_3^{2-} by CuO particles in 0.05 M NaCl solution as a function of pH.



FIGURE 2. Adsorption and desorption of SeO_{4}^{2-} by CuO particles in 0.05 M NaCl solution as a function of pH.

and from triplicate analyses standard deviations were calculated.

Results and Discussion

pH Effect on Adsorption and Desorption of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} by CuO. The adsorption and desorption of SeO_3^{2-} by CuO particles as a function of pH is shown in Figure 1. At pH 9.5, CuO particles adsorbed 100% of SeO_3^{2-} from aqueous solutions. As pH increased, the percent of SeO_3^{2-} adsorbed by CuO particles decreased, and at pH 12.5, most of the SeO_3^{2-} was in solution. An increase in anion adsorption by oxides with decreasing pH is a typical feature of the anion adsorption process. Results in Figure 1 also show that SeO_3^{2-} adsorption by CuO particles is a reversible process. These results agree with the studies reported for SeO_3^{2-} adsorption by goethite (α -FeOOH) as a function of pH (14, 15).

Adsorption and desorption of SeO_4^{2-} by CuO particles as a function of pH is presented in Figure 2. Results show CuO particles removed 100% of the SeO_4^{2-} from solutions at pH 6.0. As pH increased, SeO_4^{2-} adsorption decreased, and nearly all the SeO_4^{2-} was in solution at pH 11.0, suggesting that SeO_4^{2-} adsorption by CuO particles is also a reversible process. Benjamin (14) studied the adsorption of SeO_4^{2-} by an amorphous iron oxyhydroxide as a function of pH and reported only 85% adsorption at pH 4.5. He also reported that divalent cations such as Cu^{2+} in solution caused a significant increase in the adsorption of SeO_4^{2-} by Fe_2O_3 ·H₂O. Balistrieri and Chao (15) reported the slight adsorption of SeO_4^{2-} (<20%) in a system containing 300



FIGURE 3. Adsorption of SeO_3^{2-} in 0.05 M NaCl solution as a function of CuO particle concentration and pH.



FIGURE 4. Adsorption of SeO $_4$ ²⁻ in 0.05 M NaCl solution as a function of CuO particle concentration and pH.

mg/L goethite at pH 6.0. However, results in this study show that lower concentrations of CuO particles can adsorb close to 100% of the SeO₄²⁻ from aqueous solutions at pH 6.0. A possible explanation is that zero point of charge (ZPC) for CuO occurs at higher pH (9.5) than the ZPC of goethite, which occurs at the lower pH range (6 and 7) (16).

Adsorption and desorption results also show that SeO_3^{2-} is strongly adsorbed onto the surface of CuO particles compared to SeO_4^{2-} . For example, at pH 11.0, only 50% of SeO_3^{2-} was desorbed from the surface of CuO particles (Figure 1). At the same pH, 100% of the SeO_4^{2-} desorbed from the surface of the CuO particles (Figure 2). A possible explanation is that SeO_3^{2-} forms a strong inner-sphere surface complex in contrast to a weak outer-sphere surface complex formed by SeO_4^{2-} (17).

Figures 3 and 4 show the effect of CuO particle concentration on the adsorption of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} as a function of pH. The concentration of CuO particles had a greater effect on the adsorption of SeO_4^{2-} than the adsorption of SeO_3^{2-} . As the CuO particle concentration increased, the adsorption edges probably shifted to higher pH values because of the greater area of available binding sites. This trend has been previously observed for SeO_3^{2-} adsorption on goethite by Balistrieri and Chao (15).

Extraction of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} from Groundwater Samples with CuO. Partial chemical composition of groundwater samples is presented in Table 1. The pH

TABLE 1

Partial Chemical Data of Groundwater Samples from Reclaimed Coal Mine Sites in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming^a

	SP2	SP4	CA649B
calcium	470	427	545
magnesium	693	2080	391
sodium	339	1180	347
potassium	18	38	33
aluminum	2.54	0.03	0.01
iron	0.007	0.001	0.006
sulfate	4980	11300	2960
chloride	46	340	65
molybdenum	0.006	0.005	0.006
total selenium, (µg/L)	22 (±3)	151 (±6)	107 (±5)
DOC	14	88	87
E _h (mV)	450	360	400
рН	5.5	7.1	6.3

^a Units are mg/L unless otherwise indicated.

TABLE 2

Selenium Speciation of Groundwater Samples Determined with HGAAS^a

sample	Se(IV)	Se(VI)	organic Se	total Se
SP2 unspiked	8 (±2)	9 (±4.5)	5 (±3.4)	22
SP2 spiked	1809 (±15.9)	1781 (±18.7)	104 (±8.4)	3694
SP4 unspiked	10 (±2.1)	110 (±7.6)	31 (±4.8)	151
SP4 spiked	1789 (±15.2)	1967 (±10.9)	134 (±8.3)	3890
CA649B unspiked	7 (±1.7)	85 (±8.3)	15 (±3.9)	107
CA649B spiked	1848 (±16.7)	1927 (±11.2)	112 (±9.1)	3887
² Hydride gen μg/L.	eration atomic al	bsorption spectr	ometry. Units	s are in

ranged from 5.5 to 7.1; total Se concentrations were between 22 and 151 μ g/L; and the concentration of As, Cd, Cr, Pb, and Zn were all less than 0.001 mg/L. A high concentration of SO₄²⁻ (2960–11 300 mg/L) in groundwater samples prevented direct determination of SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ with IC. Dissolved Se speciation in spiked and unspiked samples, as determined by HGAAS, is summarized in Table 2. Results for unspiked samples show that 6–36% of the total dissolved Se is in the form of Se(IV). The Se(VI) concentrations ranged between 41 and 79% of the total dissolved Se. Organic Se concentrations ranged between 14 and 22% of the total dissolved Se.

The SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} concentrations extracted with CuO particles are presented in Table 3. For unspiked samples, the results indicate that CuO particles extracted 97% of SeO_3^{2-} from groundwater samples when compared with the concentration of Se(IV) present in the samples.

These results suggest that Se(IV) in groundwater samples is dominated by the SeO₃²⁻ ion. High concentration of SO₄²⁻ in groundwater did not affect the extraction of SeO₃²⁻ by the CuO particles. However, the CuO particles extracted only 80% of SeO₄²⁻ from unspiked groundwater samples. Similar results were observed for spiked samples. The observed 80% adsorption of SeO₄²⁻ for unspiked and spiked samples would suggest that (1) dissolved SO₄²⁻ may compete for adsorption sites on CuO and (2) other Se species such as MgSeO₄⁰ and/or CaSeO₄⁰ may be present in the groundwater samples, which may not be adsorbed by the CuO particles.

To determine the effect of SO₄²⁻ concentration on the adsorption of SeO42- by the CuO particles, different concentrations of SO_4^{2-} (0, 100, 300, 700, 1200, and 2200 mg/L) were added to solutions containing 0.6 g of CuO particles and approximately 1.0 mg/L SeO42-. After reacting for 4 h, supernatant solutions were analyzed for SeO42- by HGAAS. Results from this experiment suggested that SO42had little or no effect on the adsorption of $SeO_4{}^{2-}$ by the CuO particles. If both SO_4^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} behave similarly or compete for the adsorption sites on CuO particles, one would expect low adsorption or no adsorption of SeO_4^{2-} , especially with groundwater samples having a very high SO_4^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ratio. For example, sample SP4 has a total Se concentration of $151 \mu g/L$ compared to 11 300 mg/L SO_4^{2-} (SO₄²⁻:total Se = 74 000:1), and 79% of the SeO₄²⁻ was extracted with the CuO particles from the unspiked sample. These results suggest that CuO particles have a high affinity for SeO_4^{2-} even in the presence of high SO_4^{2-} concentrations.

Magnesium concentrations in the groundwater are high as compared to Ca2+, Na+, and K+. It may be possible that Mg forms a MgSeO4⁰ ion pair in solution. To test this hypothesis, 1 mg/L SeO42- was reacted with different concentrations of Mg²⁺ (400, 700, 1000, 1500, and 2000 mg/ L). Each solution was reacted for 0.5 h followed by measuring the Se(VI) by IC. Most ion pairs will dissociate in the IC column and be detected. Only strong ion pairs will pass through the IC column, undetected. If MgSeO40 is a strong ion pair, it will pass through the IC column and measured Se(VI) concentration will decrease with increasing Mg2+ concentrations. Data obtained from this experiment are presented in Table 4. When no Mg2+ was added to the solutions, we recovered 1 mg/L SeO42-. As Mg2+ concentration increased, measured SeO42- concentration in solutions decreased from 1.0 to 0.76 mg/L, suggesting that SeO₄²⁻ in solution probably consisted of a MgSeO₄⁰ ion pair. Thus, observed 80% adsorption for SeO42- in groundwater samples may be attributed to the presence of MgSeO40 because strongly bonded neutral species may not be adsorbed by the oxide particles (18).

TABLE 3

Determination of Sed	² and SeO ₄	^{2–} in Groundwater	Samples	Extracted with	CuO Particles ^a
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-----------------------------------

. . .

IC ⁶				HGAAS ⁶				
	uns	piked	spiked		uns	piked	spi	ked
sample	Se032-	Se042-	Se032~	Se0₄²-	Se032-	Se0₄ ^{2−}	Se032-	Se042-
SP2	ND¢	ND	1820 (±20)	1640 (±30)	8 (±1.6)	7 (±2.4)	1780 (±50)	1520 (±40)
SP4	ND	ND	1750 (±10)	1500 (±40)	9 (±2.3)	87 (±5.3)	1720 (±40)	1450 (±30)
CA649B	ND	ND	1800 (±20)	1710 (±40)	7 (±2)	70 (±6.1)	1770 (±50)	1650 (±50)

TABLE 4 Effect of Mg^{2+} on $SeO_4{}^{2-}$ Concentration in Solutions^a

Mg ²⁺	Se(VI)	% MgSeO4 ⁰
0	1.0	0
400 (CA649B)	0.89	11
700 (SP2)	0.83	17
1000	0.81	19
1500	0.78	22
2000 (SP4)	0.76	24

 a Information in parentheses is the corresponding Mg^{2+} concentration of groundwater sample. Units are in mg/L

TABLE 5

Selenium Speciation in Groundwater Samples Collected from Reclaimed Coal Mine Sites from the Powder River Basin, Wyoming^a

sample/species	SP2	SP4	CA649B
SeO32-	8 (36)	9 (6)	7 (6)
SeO42-	7 (32)	87 (57)	70 (65)
organic Se	5 (23)	31 (20)	15 (14)
MgSeO₄⁰	2 (9)	24 (16)	12 (11)
other	NS	NS	3 (3)
total	22 (100)	151 (99)	107 (99)

NS = not significant.

Because CuO particles extracted SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species and HSeO_3^- and HSeO_4^- species are not significant in slightly acidic to alkaline solutions and knowing the concentration of dissolved organic Se and total dissolved Se, we could calculate the chemical speciation of dissolved Se we could calculate the chemical speciation of dissolved Se concentration in unspiked groundwater samples is presented in Table 5. These results show that for SP2 dissolved Se was dominated by SeO_3^{2-} (36%) and SeO_4^{2-} (32%), followed by organic Se (23%) and MgSeO_4^0 (9%). For the other two samples (SP4 and CA649B), dissolved Se was dominated by SeO_4^{2-} (57–65%) followed by organic Se (14– 20%), MgSeO_4^0 (11–16%), and SeO_3^{2-} (6%).

Currently, speciation of dissolved Se concentration in groundwater is not well understood. This is partially due to the fact that this element is regulated as total Se and the EPA approved analysis is HGAAS, which cannot determine individual Se species (19). However, measurement of individual Se species is required for determining the contribution of dissolved Se in groundwater to toxicity problems. Commonly, concentrations of SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ ionic species in aqueous systems are calculated indirectly with chemical speciation models using dissolved inorganic Se, pH, and redox potential measurements. The CuO method described in this study extracted SeO₄²⁻ and SeO₃²⁻ ionic species directly from groundwater in the presence of other anions.

The proposed CuO method extracted as low as 7 (±2) μ g/L SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ from the aqueous solutions. However, its detection limits will be dictated by the method selected for Se analysis (i.e., HGAAS, fluorometry, or IC). The CuO method is simple, effective, and can potentially be used to extract the concentrations of ionic SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ species in alkaline surface water, soil solutions, coal mine spoil solutions from seleniferous regions, and alkaline fly ash and/or hazardous waste solutions. Another important aspect of this method is that CuO could be used in the field to extract both SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species from samples, and then later these species could be desorbed and determined with HGAAS, fluorometry, and/or IC depending upon the concentration of these Se species.

Summary and Conclusions

Selective adsorption phenomena of CuO for dissolved Se provided an approach to extract SeO₃²⁻ and SeO₄²⁻ ionic species from groundwater samples in the presence of other anions. At pH 5.5, both SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species were adsorbed onto the surface of CuO particles, and these species were desorbed by raising the pH to 12.5. The concentrations of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species in solutions were determined with both HGAAS and IC. Divalent metal ions such as Mg²⁺ in groundwater samples formed a strongly bonded neutral ion pair with SeO_4^{2-} . which was not adsorbed by the CuO particles. Chemical speciation results showed that dissolved Se in groundwater samples consisted of SeO42-, organic Se, MgSeO40, and SeO_3^{2-} . To determine low concentrations ($\mu g/L$ levels) of SeO_3^{2-} and SeO_4^{2-} ionic species in solutions, extraction with CuO followed by HGAAS or fluorometry will be useful.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their appreciation to the NSF EPSCoR ADP program at the University of Wyoming for funding this research. The authors also express their gratitude to Dr. Steve P. Gloss, Wyoming Water Resources Center, for his helpful suggestions with respect to both experimental work and manuscript; Dr. James I. Drever, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wyoming, for his comments on the original manuscript; and Randy B. See, Water Resources Division, USGS, Cheyenne, WY, for collecting groundwater samples.

Literature Cited

- Canter, L. W. Groundwater quality protection; Lewis Publications, Inc.: Chelsea, MI, 1987.
- (2) Martin, L.; Naftz, D.; Lowham, H.; Rankl, J. Cumulative potential hydrologic impacts of surface coal mining in the eastern Powder River structural basin, northeastern Wyoming. U.S. Geol. Surv. Water-Res. Invest. Rep. 1988, No. 88-4046.
- (3) Naftz, D. L.; Rice, J. A. Appl. Geochem. 1989, 4, 565-575.
- (4) U.S. EPA. U.S. Code of Federal Regulations. Title 40; U.S. GPO: Washington, DC, 1986; pp 524-528.
- (5) McNeal, J. M.; Balistrieri, L. Geochemistry and occurrence of selenium: An Overview. In Selenium in Agricultural and Environment, Jacobs, L. W., Ed.; Soil Special Publication 23: Science Society of America: Madison, WI, 1989; pp 1-13.
- (6) Elrashidi, M. A.; Adriano, D. C.; Workman, S. M.; Lindsay, W. L. Soil Sci. 1987, 144, 141-152.
- (7) Cutter, G. Anal. Chim. Acta 1978, 98, 59-66.
- (8) Blaylock, M. J.; James, B. R. J. Envrion. Qual. 1993, 22, 851-857.
- (9) Hoover, T. B.; Yager, G. D. Anal. Chem. 1984, 56, 221-227.
- (10) Roden, D. R.; Tallman, D. E. Anal. Chem. 1982, 54, 307-309.
- (11) Fio, J. L.; Fujii, R. Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1990, 54, 363-369.
- (12) Wang, D.; Alfthan, G.; Aro, A. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1994, 28, 383–387.
- (13) See, R. B.; Reddy, K. J.; Vance, G. F.; Fadlelmawla, A. A.; Blaylock, M. J. Geochemical processes and the role of natural organic solutes on the solubility of selenium in coal mine backfill aquifer samples, Powder River Basin; Final Report, Abandoned Coal Mine lands Research Program; Office of Surface Mining: Denver, CO, 1995.
 (14) Benjamin, M. M. Environ, Sci. Technol. 1983, 17, 686-692.
- (14) Delijalilili, M. M. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1303, 17, 660–632.
- (15) Balistrieri, L.; Chao, T. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1987, 51, 1145-1151.
- (16) Parks, G. A. Chem. Rev. 1965, 65, 177-198.
- (17) Hayes, K. F.; Roe, A. L.; Brown, G. E.; Hogson, K. O., Jr.; Leckie, J. O.; Parks, G. A. Science 1987, 238, 783-786.

- (18) Reddy, K. J.; Gloss, S. P. Appl. Geochem. 1993, 2 (Suppl.), 159– 163.
- (19) Oppenheimer, J. A.; Eaton, A. D.; Kreft, P. H. Speciation of selenium in groundwater; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Report EPA-600/S2-84-190: U.S. EPA: Washington, DC, 1985; pp 1–4.

Received for review July 19, 1994. Revised manuscript received December 21, 1994. Accepted March 10, 1995.*

ES9404476

* Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, April 15, 1995.